

EXHIBIT 6

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

VINCENT LEUNG, on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,
v.
XPO LOGISTICS, INC.,
Defendant.

No. 15 CV 03877

[PROPOSED] FORM OF ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL

The Court having held a Final Approval Hearing on _____, 2018, notice of the hearing having been duly given in accordance with this Court's Order (1) Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement, (2) Conditionally Certifying a Settlement Class, (3) Approving Notice Plan and (4) Setting Final Approval Hearing (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), and having considered all matters submitted to it at the Final Approval Hearing and otherwise, and finding no just reason for delay in entry of this Final Approval Order and good cause appearing therefore,

It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The Settlement Agreement and Release dated November 30, 2017, including its Exhibits (the "Agreement"), and the definition of words and terms contained therein, are incorporated by reference and are used hereafter. The terms and definitions of this Court's Preliminary Approval Order (Dkt. No.142) are also incorporated by reference into this Final Approval Order.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over the Parties, including all Settlement Class Members with respect to the Settlement Class certified for settlement purposes in this Court's Preliminary Approval Order, as follows:

The persons whose cellular telephone numbers are identified in the call data produced in this litigation bearing the litigation production numbers XPOLG024550 and XPOLG024553 where XPO or its subsidiary placed a pre-recorded post-delivery survey call after May 1, 2011 relating to an IKEA delivery.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Judge to whom the Action is assigned and any member of the Court's staff and immediate family (to the extent they received a listed call) and all persons who have opted-out of the Settlement Class.

3. The Court hereby finds that the Agreement is the product of arm's length settlement negotiations between Plaintiff and XPO.

4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that Class Notice was disseminated to persons in the Settlement Class in accordance with the terms of the Agreement and that the Class Notice and its dissemination were in compliance with this Court's Preliminary Approval Order.

5. The Court further finds and concludes that the Class Notice and claims submission procedures set forth in the Agreement fully satisfy Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process, were the best notice practicable under the circumstances, provided due and sufficient individual notice to all persons in the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable effort and support the Court's exercise of jurisdiction over the Settlement Class as contemplated in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order.

6. There were no objections to the Agreement *or* [For the reasons stated on the record, as well as the reasons set forth in Plaintiffs' and XPO's respective pleadings, the Court overrules all objections to the Agreement.]

7. If Applicable [The Court finds that _____ is/are not class members and have no standing to object to the Settlement Agreement.]

8. The Court hereby finally approves the Agreement and finds that the terms constitute, in all respects, a fair, reasonable and adequate settlement as to all Settlement Class Members in accordance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9. The Court hereby finally certifies the Settlement Class for settlement purposes. The Court finds for settlement purposes that the Action satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

10. The Court hereby approves the plan of distribution for the Settlement Fund as set forth in the Agreement. The Claims Administrator is hereby ordered to comply with the terms of

the Agreement with respect to distribution of Settlement Awards, the Second Distribution and disposition of any Remaining Funds thereafter. Should any Remaining Funds be distributed, the Court hereby approves National Foundation for Credit Counseling and the National Consumer Law Center (“NCLC”) as the *cy pres* recipients who shall receive an equal distribution. The funds to NCLC shall be earmarked for working to safeguard the protections of the TCPA. The Court finds this organization is closely aligned with the class’ interests.

11. This Court hereby dismisses this Action, with prejudice, without costs to any party, except as expressly provided for in the Agreement.

12. As of the Effective Date, the Plaintiff and each and every one of the Settlement Class Members unconditionally, fully and finally release and forever discharge the Released Parties from the Released Claims as fully set forth in the Agreement. In addition, any rights of Plaintiffs and each and every one of the Settlement Class Members to the protections afforded under Section 1542 of the California Civil Code and/or any other similar, comparable or equivalent laws will be terminated.

13. The Agreement (including any and all exhibits attached thereto) and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it will not be deemed or construed to be an admission or evidence of any violation of any statute, law, rule, regulation or principle of common law or equity, or of any liability or wrongdoing by XPO, or the truth of any of the claims. Evidence relating to the Agreement will not be discoverable or used, directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Action or in any other action or proceeding, except for purposes of demonstrating, describing, implementing or enforcing the terms and conditions of the Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order and/or this Final Approval Order.

14. If for any reason whatsoever this Settlement fails to become effective for any reason, the certification of the Settlement Class shall be void and the Parties and the Action will return to the status quo as it existed prior to the Agreement, and no doctrine of waiver, estoppel or preclusion will be asserted in any proceedings, in response to any motion seeking class

certification, any motion seeking to compel arbitration or otherwise asserted at any other stage of the Action or in any other proceeding. No agreements, documents or statements made by or entered into by any Party in connection with the Settlement may be used by Plaintiffs, any person in the Settlement Class, XPO or any other person to establish liability, any defense and/or any of the elements of class certification, whether in the Action or in any other proceeding.

15. In the event that the Settlement fails to become effective for any reason, the money remaining in the Settlement Fund (including accrued interest), less expenses and taxes incurred or due and owing and payable from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the Agreement, shall be returned to XPO within 15 days of the event that causes the Agreement to not become effective.

16. In the event that any provision of the Agreement or this Final Approval Order is asserted by XPO as a defense in whole or in part to any claim, or otherwise asserted (including, without limitation, as a basis for a stay) in any other suit, action or proceeding brought by a Settlement Class Member or any person actually or purportedly acting on behalf of any Settlement Class Member(s), that suit, action or other proceeding shall be immediately stayed and enjoined until this Court or the court or tribunal in which the claim is pending has determined any issues related to such defense or assertion. Solely for purposes of such suit, action or other proceeding, to the fullest extent they may effectively do so under applicable law, the Parties irrevocably waive and agree not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, or that the Court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum. These provisions are necessary to protect the Agreement, this Final Approval Order and this Court's authority to effectuate the Agreement, and are ordered in aid of this Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgment.

17. By incorporating the Agreement and its terms herein, the Court determines that this Final Approval Order complies in all respects with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d)(1).

18. Class Counsel have moved pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 23(h) and 52(a) for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses. Pursuant to Rules 23(h)(3) and 52(a) this Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

(a) that the Class Settlement confers substantial benefits on the Settlement Class Members;

(b) that the value conferred on the Settlement Class is immediate and readily quantifiable (upon this Judgment becoming Final (as defined in the Agreement), Settlement Class Members who have submitted valid Settlement Claim Certification Forms will receive cash payments that represent a significant portion of the damages that would be available to them were they to prevail in an individual action under the Telephone Consumer Protections Act ("TCPA");

(c) that Class Counsel vigorously and effectively pursued the Settlement Class Members' claims before this Court in this complex case;

(d) that the Class Settlement was obtained as a direct result of Class Counsel's advocacy;

(e) that the Class Settlement was reached following extensive negotiation between Class Counsel and Counsel for XPO, and was negotiated in good-faith and in the absence of collusion;

(f) that Settlement Class Members were advised in the Class Notice approved by the Court that Class Counsel intended to apply for an award of attorneys' fees in the amount in an amount of up to \$_____ and expenses in the amount of up to \$____ incurred in the prosecution of the Litigation, to be paid from the Settlement Fund;

(g) that _____ member(s) of the Settlement Class has (have) submitted written objection(s) to the award of attorneys' fees and expenses;

(h) that counsel who recover a common benefit for persons other than himself or his client is entitled to a reasonable attorneys' fee from the Settlement Fund as a whole. *See, e.g., Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert*, 444 U.S. 472, 478 (1980); *Sutton v. Bernard*, 504 F.3d 688, 691 (7th Cir. 2007) ("the attorneys for the class petition the court for compensation from the settlement or common fund created for the class's benefit"); and accordingly, Class Counsel are hereby awarded \$ _____ for attorney fees and \$ _____ for reimbursed expenses from the balance of the Settlement Fund, which the Court finds to be fair and reasonable, and which amount shall be paid to Class Counsel from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

14. The Class Representative, as identified in the Preliminary Approval Order, is hereby compensated in the amount of \$ _____ for his efforts in this case. *See Cook v. Niedert*, 142 F.3d 1004, 1016 (7th Cir. 1998) (recognizing that "because a named plaintiff is an essential ingredient of any class action, an incentive award is appropriate if it is necessary to induce an individual to participate in the suit"); *In re Synthroid Mkt. Litig.* ("Synthroid I"), 264 F.3d 722, (7th Cir. 2001) ("Incentive awards are justified when necessary to induce individuals to become named representatives.").

**IT IS SO ORDERED,
ADJUDGED AND DECREED.**

Dated: _____

Honorable Edmond Chang